

To: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
Cc: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]
From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US
Sent: Mon 11/22/2010 6:34:26 PM
Subject: Fw: First Rough Draft of Federal Status Paper
BDCP The Federal Bay Delta Challenge An Integrated Federal Strategy 11.6.2010.docx
will_stelle.vcf

Let's both look at this and when Tom's back tomorrow, discuss any feedback we want to provide.

~~~~~  
KAREN SCHWINN  
Associate Director  
Water Division  
U.S. EPA Region 9  
75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1)  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
415/972-3472  
415/297-5509 (mobile)  
415/947-3537 (fax)  
~~~~~

----- Forwarded by Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US on 11/22/2010 10:30 AM -----

From: William Stelle <Will.Stelle@noaa.gov>
To: Luana Kiger <Luana.Kiger@ca.usda.gov>, Michael Jewell <michael.s.jewell@usace.army.mil>, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Nawi, David" <David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>, "Barajas, Federico" <FBarajas@usbr.gov>
Date: 11/22/2010 10:26 AM
Subject: First Rough Draft of Federal Status Paper

Tom, Mike, Luana et al:

Attached please find a first rough cut of a potential federal position paper on Bay Delta related matters which we are preparing for release the end of the first week of December, or thereabouts. While the focus is principally the BDCP, we are also anticipating folding into it other important federal initiatives that address problems within the Delta, both immediate and longer term.

Would you be able to provide us (David Nawi, myself and Federico Barajas) comments or additions/subtractions to this rough draft by the end of this week, if at all possible? Unless David has more recent direction, short and sweet is preferred. If I should have passed this to others in your domains, please do so.

Many thanks. Comments or questions welcomed.

Yours,

Will Stelle

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named

Re: Comments Please: First Rough Draft of Federal Status Paper.eml
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

Date: Thu, 18 Nov 2010 09:57:05 -0800

From: William Stelle <Will.Stelle@noaa.gov>

Subject: Re:Comments Please: First Rough Draft of Federal Status Paper

In-reply-to: <395795bf.95bf3957@noaa.gov>

To: Will.Stelle@noaa.gov

Cc: "Barajas, Federico" <FBarajas@usbr.gov>,

"Belin, Letty" <Letty_Belin@ios.doi.gov>,

"Castleberry, Dan" <dan_castleberry@fws.gov>,

Chris Yates <Chris.Yates@noaa.gov>, David Nawi <david_nawi@ios.doi.gov>,

DGlaser@usbr.gov, Don Glaser <dglaser@mp.usbr.gov>,

"Feller, Erika" <Erika_M._Feller@ceq.eop.gov>,

Howard Brown <Howard.Brown@NOAA.GOV>,

"Katherine.Cheney" <Katherine.Cheney@noaa.gov>,

"Lohofener, Ren" <Ren_Lohofener@fws.gov>, Maria Rea <Maria.Rea@noaa.gov>,

MChotkowski@usbr.gov, "Milligan, Ronald E" <RMilligan@usbr.gov>,

Jennifer Norris <Jennifer_Norris@fws.gov>,

Michael Tucker <Michael.Tucker@NOAA.GOV>, Rod McInnis <Rod.Mcinnis@noaa.gov>

Message-id: <4CE568F1.90406@noaa.gov>

Organization: NOAA Fisheries, PNW

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: multipart/mixed; boundary="Boundary_(ID_E679Px+BT471I1v2RNXIkA)"

User-Agent: Thunderbird 2.0.0.24 (Windows/20100228)

References: <395795bf.95bf3957@noaa.gov>

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

--Boundary_(ID_E679Px+BT4711v2RNXIkA)
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Good morning: As the focus shifts from the Steering Committee activities and documents to the refinement of the State Transition document and the Federal paper, I would like to renew my request for your review and comment, if any. I have attached the original draft of 11/6.

I have received comments from Reclamation and NMFS and from CEQ staff. Thank you for them; they are all good and consistent. I await comments from David and Letty, and also from FWS.

I will then revise, and we can send up the food chain to the DC folks more broadly for another round. So, please let me know if you'll have thoughts on how to revise, and then we'll go another round.

Thank you. The first week of December, when this needs to be ready, will be upon us very quickly.

WS

Will.Stelle@noaa.gov wrote:

> David et al:

>

> Attached please find a first draft of a proposed Federal status paper on
> Bay Delta-related undertakings, including 2011 activities, the BDCP and
> other initiatives.

>

> I have taken the liberty of drafting this to "get the ball rolling", so
> to speak, on a document which our principals have been discussing as a
> useful public description of what we, the Feds, are doing and thinking
> about these matters. The idea is that this would go out around the time
> of the release of the state transition document, likely around early
> December.

>

> I have distributed this only to Federal regional staff (plus Letty Belin
> and Erika Feller of CEQ, our honorary regional designees). Please
> provide "to-die-for" comments or corrections, if any, to me by Monday
> noontime so that I can correct any grave errors in this before sharing
> it with the DC agency/departamental staffs prior to or during the
> meetings there scheduled for the middle of next week. Once we can all
> see the character of our first set of comments, we'll then be better
> prepared to discuss the utility of such a piece, whether it is properly
> scoped, whether it engages these topics at the right level of detail to
> serve its purpose, and then down into specific comments on this and that.